

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/666,102	09/18/2003	Stephen J. Fink	YOR920030026	7123
30076	7590 10/11/2006		EXAMINER	
BROWN RAYSMAN MILLSTEIN FELDER & STEINER, LLP			WANG, RONGFA PHILIP	
1880 CENTU	JRY PARK EAST R		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGEL	LES, CA 90067	2191		
			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/666,102	FINK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Philip Wang	2191	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 S	eptember 2003.		
<u> </u>	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims	·	•	
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 18 September 2003 is/s	are: a) <mark>⊡</mark> accepted or b)⊠ objec	ted to by the Exar	miner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CI	FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P7	ГО-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/21/2004</u> .	6) Other:		

Detail Action

- 1. This office action is in response to the application filed on 9/18/2003.
- 2. Claims 1-30 are pending.

Preliminary Amendment

3. Preliminary amendment filed on 3/18/2004 is entered per applicant's request.

Objection

Specification

- 4. The specification of the disclosure is objected to because the first paragraph of page 2 appears to be redundant. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 5. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. It appears that the core of the invention is related to garbage collection to reclaim memory in a computer system. It is suggested to change the title of the invention to properly match the core of the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,102 Page 3

Art Unit: 2191

Drawings

6. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the label for method 100 as shown, for example, on page 5, second line and page 6, first line, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). Also, label 214, for decision on page 7, line 6 is not shown in the drawing. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2191

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 7. Claims 5, 13, 22, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The examiner believes that nowhere in the specification provides any description for such limitation. No prior art rejection will be provided for these claims.
- 8. Claims 9, 10, 11, 12, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The only location in the specification that mentions these limitation is in paragraph [0018] that only list these functions without providing any detail regarding how these functions are performed. No prior rejections will be provided for these claims.

Art Unit: 2191

9. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 1, 16, and 23 recite the limitation of an activation. Though the specification describes step 110 "the system associates a phase with an activation", however, it appears no description is given regarding what is an activation. Based on the examiner's understanding, an activation count is associated with a frame (per [0017], line 7-8). All depend claims of claims 1, 16, and 23 suffer the same deficiency as claims 1, 16, and 23 and are rejected for the same reason above.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

10. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Independent claims 1 and 16 recite the limitation of detecting phases in a computer program, however, the body of the claim does not include any limitation for detecting phases in a computer program. All depend claims of claims 1 and 16 suffer the same deficiency and are rejected for the same reason above.

Art Unit: 2191

Page 6

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

11. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 12, 14-21, 23-25, and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Houldsworth (US Patent No. 6,557,091).

As per claim 1,

Houldsworth discloses

- a) allocating space in memory for an activation count for each frame (FIG. 5,
 HT/COUNT is memory allocated);
- b) zeroing the activation count wherever the program creates a new stack frame (It is inherent when it is initialized, see for example FIG. 4 HT/COUNT, left col.);
- c) analyzing the stack for each thread and incrementing the activation count for each frame (FIG. 5, HT/COUNT shows and count of 2 after incrementing.); and
- d) associating a phase with an activation whose activation count is non-zero (FIG. 5, an SF is associated with a phase with a count of 2 which is non-zero).

As per claim 2,

Application/Control Number: 10/666,102 Page 7

Art Unit: 2191

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated;

further Houldsworth discloses

- step c) further comprises logging activation counts during each interval

```
(c3: 27-28, "...periodically determining...").
```

As per claim 3,

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated;

further Houldsworth discloses

- the activation count is implemented by reserving storage in each stack

```
frame (c6: 35-36, "...a specific table TT for each
thread...").
```

As per claim 4,

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated;

further Houldsworth discloses

- performing step performing step c) at periodic intervals of time according

to a system clock(c3: 27-28, "...periodically determining...").

Application/Control Number: 10/666,102 Page 8

Art Unit: 2191

As per claim 6,

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated;

Houldsworth discloses

- comprising ensuring that when a phase ends, an action is performed immediately (c7: 49-51, "...As local garbage is collected at procedure exits...").

As per claim 7,

the rejection of claim 6 is incorporated;

further Houldsworth discloses

- changing the return address to force the program to call a designated procedure when the frame returns c7: 49-51, "...As local garbage is collected at procedure exits...").

As per claim 8,

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated;

further Houldsworth discloses

- scheduling garbage collection after each associated phase (c2: 23-25, "...singly referenced objects may be garbage collected...").

Art Unit: 2191

Page 9

As per claim 12,

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated;

Houldsworth discloses

- further comprising resetting profile data at program phase transitions (c2:

```
29-31, "...global flag set by...").
```

As per claim 14,

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated;

Houldsworth discloses

- implementing activation counts in a side data structure (Fig. 6, where HT/COUNT is a side data structure.).

As per claim 15,

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated;

Houldsworth discloses

- the activation count is implemented as an array paralleling the stack (c7, 11-13, "...could be held in an array...").

Art Unit: 2191

Claims 16-21 are system claims that recite the same limitation as claims 1-4, and 7 and are rejected for the same reasons above.

Claims 23-25, 27-29 computer readable medium claims recite the same limitation as claims 1-3, and 7-8 and are rejected for the same reasons above.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

It is noted that any citation [[s]] to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the prior art references and any interpretation of the references should not be considered to be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for all it contains and may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art. [[See, MPEP 2123]]

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-5934. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:00AM - 4:00PM. Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC2100 Group receptionist: 571-272-2100.

Art Unit: 2191

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei Zhen can be reached on 571-272-37As per claim 08, The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PERURERHISORY PATENT CV

Page 11